

Ocular Response Analyzer to Assess Age-Related Biomechanical Characteristics of the Cornea in a Taiwanese Population

Tsung-Jen Wang^{1,2,3,4}, Shwu-Huev Lee⁵, Wen-Jing Hsu⁵, David Chao-Kai Chang⁶, I-Jong Wang^{3,4*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan ²Department of Ophthalmology, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan ³College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan ⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan ⁶ Nobel Eye Clinic, Taipei, Taiwan Email: ^{*}tjw.tw@msa.hinet.net

Received 21 March 2014; revised 20 April 2014; accepted 19 May 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ ۲

Open Access

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate biomechanical properties of the cornea as measured using a Reichert ocular response analyzer (ORA) in a Taiwanese population. Methods: Basic demographic data were recorded for 1875 Taiwanese subjects. Corneal hysteresis (CH), corneal resistance factor (CRF), central corneal thickness (CCT), Goldmann-equivalent intraocular pressure (IOPg), and corneal-compensated intraocular pressure (IOPcc) were measured with an ORA. Descriptive statistics and multiple regression analyses were used to assess and compare relevant factors of the CRF and CH. Results: In total, 1817 eyes were included in this study. The mean patient age was 21.4 \pm 17.01 years. The mean (\pm SD) CH and CRF values were 10.6 \pm 1.81 and 10.6 \pm 1.99 mmHg, respectively. The mean CCT was 560.4 ± 38.53 µm. CH was correlated with IOPcc, CRF, and CCT. In the multiple linear-regression model, CH was associated with and affected by IOPcc, CRF, and CCT. In another multiple linear-regression model, CRF was associated with and affected by IOPcc, CH, and CCT. Conclusions: Measuring biomechanical properties by Reichert ORA, we found that CH and CRF values of a Taiwanese population were similar to those other Asian populations and other races. Our findings provided fundamental data of CH and CRF in a Taiwanese (ethic Chinese) and also Asian population.

^{*}Corresponding author.

How to cite this paper: Wang, T.-J., Lee, S.-H., Hsu, W.-J., Chang, D.C.-K. and Wang, I-J. (2014) Ocular Response Analyzer to Assess Age-Related Biomechanical Characteristics of the Cornea in a Taiwanese Population. International Journal of Clinical Medicine, 5, 650-656. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijcm.2014.512089

Keywords

Corneal Hysteresis (CH), Corneal Resistance Factor (CRF), Central Corneal Thickness (CCT)

1. Introduction

The cornea possesses both stiffness and viscoelasticity properties. Hysteresis is a parameter to describe the biomechanical properties of the cornea [1]. There was no method to determine the corneal biomechanical properties until the use of Reichart ocular response analyzer (ORA) [2]. Both corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistance factor (CRF) are derived using the Reichart ORA, and they were proposed as indicators of corneal biomechanical properties [3] [4]. The device utilizes a brief air impulse to rapidly deform the cornea and monitors the shape of the cornea during deformation by an advanced electro-optical system. The inward and outward applanations induced by the air puff are measured. The two different pressures are averaged to provide the non-contact tonometer intraocular pressure (IOPg). The difference between the two pressures caused by the inward and outward applanation is referred to as CH.

In addition, during the same bidirectional process, corneal resistance factor (CRF) and corneal-compensated intraocular pressure (IOPcc) are also derived by ORA software [5]. CRF was suggested to serve as an indicator of the overall corneal resistance encountered during the measurement process which is related to CH and central corneal thickness (CCT). The IOPcc is a pressure measurement that uses new information provided by CH, and the manufacturer claims that it is less affected by corneal properties.

The primary purpose of this study was to investigate the aging effect on biomechanical characteristics of the cornea in a Taiwanese population. Previous work suggested that biomechanical properties of the cornea decrease with greater age, and we wanted to determine if differences exist in different populations. The secondary goal was to survey the association of CH values with both CCT and refractive errors. The final purpose was to assess possible relationships between ORA parameters and age in a healthy Taiwanese (ethnic Chinese) population.

2. Materials and Methods

The basic demographic data were recorded in 1875 Taiwanese from January 2006 to July 2009. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Taipei Medical University (Taipei, Taiwan).

CH, CRF, CCT, Goldmann-equivalent intraocular pressure (IOPg), and IOPcc were measured with the ORA. Spherical equivalent (SE) of refraction was also obtained. All measurements were repeated three times for each eye. All Taiwanese (ethnic Chinese) subjects were included. Participants were excluded if they had any previous ocular surgery or corneal diseases such as keratitis, Fuchs' dystrophy, and keratoconus.

CH was measured by ORA. ORA software utilizes CH to derive two additional parameters: IOPcc and CRF. IOPg is also provided by the machine. The eyes of all patients were anesthetized with 1 drop of topical 0.5% Alcaine (proparacaine hydrochloride) before the CCT measurement with a contact ultrasound pachymetry probe included with ORA machine. The probe was placed perpendicular to the midpupillary axis, and the mean of three measurements was recorded. For the purpose of the study, all ocular measurements of the right eye were included in the analysis.

Descriptive statistics and multiple regression analyses were used to assess and compared the relevant factors of CH and CRF. Multiple linear regression models were constructed with CH and CRF as dependent variables and other associated factors as covariates. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). A p value of <0.05 or 0.01 or 0.001 indicated statistical significance.

3. Results

In total, the only right eyes of 1817 subjects were included in the study. The mean patient age was 21.5 ± 17.24 (range, 5.27 - 87.8) years, the distribution of the gender was 58.7% female and 41.3% male, and all were Tai-wanese (ethnic Chinese). Demographic data are shown in **Table 1**. The mean (\pm SD) CH was 10.6 ± 1.81 (range, 5.5 - 15.6) mmHg and CRF was 10.6 ± 1.99 (range, 5.1 - 16.2) mmHg. Mean CCT was 560.4 ± 38.53 (range, 367 - 711) µm. Mean values of SE, IOPg, and IOPcc were -3.7 ± 3.21 (range, -17.25 - 8.5) diopter, $15.9 \pm 10.5 \pm 10.5$

Table 1. Demographic data, right eyes ($n = 1817$).						
	Mean ± SD	Range	95% CI			
Age (years)	21.4 ± 17.01	5.27 - 87.8	20.63 - 22.21			
IOPg (mmHg)	15.9 ± 4.08	5.0 - 33.6	15.69 - 16.06			
IOPcc (mmHg)	16.2 ± 4.04	5.8 - 37.8	15.99 - 16.37			
CRF	10.6 ± 1.99	5.1 - 16.2	10.54 - 10.73			
ССТ	560.4 ± 38.53	367.0 - 711.0	558.49 - 562.23			
СН	10.6 ± 1.81	5.5 - 15.6	10.47 - 10.63			
SE (diopters)	-3.7 ± 3.21	-17.25 - 8.5	-3.80 - 3.50			

IOPg, Goldmann-equivalent intraocular pressure; IOPcc, corneal-compensated intraocular pressure; CRF, corneal resistance factor; CCT, central corneal thickness; CH, corneal hysteresis; SE, spherical equivalent.

4.08 (range, 5.0 - 33.6) mmHg, and 16.2 ± 4.04 (range, 5.8 - 37.8) mmHg, respectively.

CH was significantly correlated with CRF (Pearson correlation coefficient r = 0.79, p = 0.0039), IOPcc (r = -0.47, p = 0.0027), and CCT (r = 0.39, p = 0.0013). CH was not correlated with IOPg (r = 0.03, p = 0.27) or SE (r = 0.04, p = 0.09). CRF was significantly correlated with IOPg (r = 0.63, p = 0.0032), IOPcc (r = 0.15, p = 0.0017), and CCT (r = 0.53, p = 0.0023), but not correlated with SE (r = 0.04, p = 0.13). IOPg was significantly correlated with SE (r = 0.04, p = 0.13). IOPg was significantly correlated with IOPcc (r = 0.86, p = 0.0068) and CCT (r = 0.40, p = 0.0017), but not with SE (r = -0.01, p = 0.65). IOPcc was significantly correlated with CCT (r = 0.15, p = 0.0013), but not with SE (r = -0.01, p = 0.76) (Table 2).

Using multiple linear-regression modeling, we were able to determine the association of CH and CRF with covariates such as age, gender, IOPcc, CCT, and SE (**Table 3**, **Table 4**). The tolerance of the regression was >0.1, and the variance inflation factor was <10. Therefore, no co-linearity was found for any parameters. CH was not correlated with age, gender, or SE, but it was significantly associated with and affected by IOPcc, CRF, and CCT. In another multiple linear-regression mode, CRF was associated with and affected by IOPcc, CH, and CCT, but CRF was not correlated with age, gender, or SE. Parameters used in the regression analyses accounted for >3.4% of the variance in the multivariate model. Finally, there was a significant correlation between CH and CRF ($R^2 = 0.61$, Figure 1).

4. Discussion

CH is a measure of corneal biomechanical properties that can be measured with dynamic bidirectional applanation as used in the Reichert ORA. This device uses an impulse produced by a rapid jet of air to deform the cornea and an advanced electro-optical system to monitor the shape of the cornea during deformation. From previous ex vivo studies, it is known that the stiffness of the cornea increases with age. Lim et al. reported that mean CH, CRF, and CCT were 11.78 mmHg, 11.81 mmHg, and 578.67 µm in 271 Singaporean children [6]. Kirwan et al. assayed the corneal biomechanical properties in 81 normal eyes of children, and mean CH was 12.5 ± 1.35 mmHg [5]. CH values reported in normal adults are generally lower [7]. Shah *et al.* reported a mean CH of 10.7 ± 2.0 mmHg in normal adults, while Kirwan *et al.* reported 10.8 ± 1.4 mmHg [4] [5]. Most reports confirmed that CH varies over a wide range in normal individuals, and the range of measurement we obtained of 5.1 - 16.2 mmHg is comparable to the widest reported range of 6.1 - 17.6 mmHg. Age-related changes in the corneal biomechanical properties may be explained by changes in corneal collagen fibrils. With an advancing age, the corneal viscoelastic properties may be affected by increases in cross-linkages of collagen fibrils within the cornea. This leads to a stiffer and less-viscoelastic corneal structure [8]. In addition, conditions like Fuchs' dystrophy, keratoconus, and refractive surgery can cause the corneal collagen to have an irregular arrangement resulting in CH alterations [9] [10]. From our survey, the mean CH was 10.6 ± 1.81 mmHg with a range of 5.1 -16.2 mmHg which was similar to those in previous studies. Although CH significantly decreased by aging in the previous similar studies [11] [12], there was no statistical significance in our study. Nevertheless, Lim *et al.* found CH not vary with age [6]. Compared to study population from Kamiya and Narayanaswamy [11] [12], the mean age of population, in our and Lim's study was relatively young (21.5 and 13.97, respectively). Further

	СН	CRF	IOPg	IOPcc	ССТ	SE
CH r	1.00	0.79	0.03	-0.47	0.39	0.04
p	-	< 0.01**	0.27	< 0.01***	< 0.01**	0.09
CRF <i>r</i>	-	1.00	0.63	0.15	0.53	0.04
р	-	-	< 0.01**	< 0.01***	< 0.01**	0.13
IOPg r	-	-	1.00	0.86	0.40	0.01
р	-	-	-	< 0.01***	< 0.01***	0.65
IOPcc r	-	-	-	1.00	0.15	-0.01
р	-	-	-	-	< 0.01***	0.76
CCT r	-	-	-	-	1.00	-0.02
р	-	-	-	-	-	0.35
SE r	-	-	-	-	-	1.00
р	-	-	-	-	-	-

 Table 2. Pearson's correlations among corneal hysteresis, corneal resistance factor, corneal-compensated intraocular pressure, and central corneal thickness.

CH, corneal hysteresis; CRF, corneal resistance factor; IOPcc, corneal-compensated intraocular pressure; CCT, central corneal thickness; IOPg, Goldmann-equivalent intraocular pressure; SE, spherical equivalent ($^{**}_{p} < 0.01$).

	Unadjusted (95% CI, <i>n</i> = 1817)	р	Multivariable-adjusted (95% CI, n = 1591)	р
Age (years)	-0.004 (-0.01 to 0.0005)	0.008	-0.0007 (-0.002 to 0.0001)	0.08
Gender				
Female	Reference		Reference	
Male	-0.15 (-0.32 to 0.02)	0.08	-0.03 (-0.03 to 0.03)	
IOPcc (mmHg)	-0.21 (-0.23 to -0.19)	< 0.01**	-0.27 (-0.27 to -0.26)	< 0.001****
CRF	0.72 (0.69 to 0.74)	< 0.01**	0.79 (0.79 to 0.80)	< 0.001***
CCT	0.018 (0.015 to 0.02)	< 0.01**	0.0004 (0.0001 to 0.0009)	0.04^{*}
SE (diopters)	0.023 (-0.0032 to 0.048)	0.09	0.0029 (-0.0016 to 0.0074)	0.21

Table 3. Multiple linear r	regression model of	of the factors associated	d with corneal hyster	esis.

 $R^2 = 0.974$. CH, corneal hysteresis, IOPcc, corneal-compensated intraocular pressure; CRF, corneal resistance factor; CCT, central corneal thickness; SE, spherical equivalent (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

Table 4. Multi	ple linear-re	gression m	nodel of th	he factors	associated	with c	orneal re	esistance f	factor
		0							

	Unadjusted (95% CI, <i>n</i> = 1817)	р	Multivariable-adjusted (95% CI, n = 1591)	р
Age (years)	-0.007 (-0.012 to -0.0013)	0.014	0.0012 (-0.001 to 0.002)	0.08
Gender				
Female	Reference		Reference	
Male	-0.061 (-0.25 to 0.13)	0.52	-0.012 (-0.06 to 0.03)	0.61
IOPcc (mmHg)	0.071 (0.050 to 0.093)	< 0.001****	0.295 (0.89 to 0.302)	< 0.001****
CRF	0.863 (0.831 to 0.894)	< 0.001****	1.18 (1.16 to 1.19)	< 0.001****
CCT	0.027 (0.025 to 0.029)	< 0.001****	0.001 (0.0005 to 0.0019)	< 0.001****
SE (diopters)	0.025 (-0.0031 to 0.054)	0.08	-0.006 (-0.013 to 0.0009)	0.09

 $R^2 = 0.948$. CRF, corneal resistance factor; IOPcc, corneal-compensated intraocular pressure; CCT, central corneal thickness; SE, spherical equivalent (*** p < 0.001).



large-scale population-based survey may be required to confirm the relationship between hysteresis and aging in Taiwanese population.

According to the literature, CRF is strongly correlated with CCT in normal subjects [2] [8]. CRF is a corneal biomechanical parameter that is independent of IOP. Factors which affect CCT, such as keratoconus and refractive surgery, cause the CRF to decrease [10] [13]. In addition, the CRF significantly increases with corneal swelling after wearing contact lens with the eyes closed for 3 hours [14]. It remains unclear if the increase in CRF is due to an increase in CCT or an increase in IOP. Although clinical applications of CRF are few, CRF may reflect the integrity of the cornea. Therefore, CRF may be an effective indicator for evaluating interactions between corneal viscoelastic properties and the IOP [15]. CRF was reported in normal subjects as 11.0 ± 1.6 mmHg by Kirwan [3], as 10.1 ± 1.5 mmHg by Kamiya *et al.* [11] and as 10.1 ± 1.6 mmHG by Narayanaswamy *et al.* [12]. In our study, the result was 10.6 ± 1.99 mmHg which was similar to their findings.

In addition to CH and CRF, IOPcc is also a new measurement acquired from ORA. In our study, both CH and CRF were correlated with IOPcc. Furthermore, IOPcc was significantly correlated with CCT. Shen *et al.* found that the change in IOPcc was related to IOPg, but not to changes in CRF or CH after sleeping. They concluded that IOPcc might exclude some biomechanical effects from the cornea and appears to be "independent" if the increase in IOP is true, but they suggested using an intracameral method for further studies [16]. According to our and other studies, we believed that this discrepancy needs further surveys to explain its meaning and its relationship to IOPcc.

Regarding relationships among these parameters, CH, CRF, and CCT are known to be highly correlated from previous studies [7] [17]. Lim *et al.* found that CH and CRF measurements did not vary with age, gender, or race and were also not dependent on the refractive error or axial length [6]. In our survey, relationships of physiologic impact factors including age, gender, and the refractive error with CH and CRF were analyzed. However, there was no statistical significance of CH or CRF with these factors.

This study had some limitations. First, the gender imbalance may impact the statistical power to determine if a difference exists in the corneal biomechanical properties in males and females. Second, we did not exclude patients with a significant systemic illness such as diabetes which might have contributed to the variation in CH and CRF. Third, corneal problems also influenced hysteresis The selection biases may exist if disease was not diagnosed. Although the population was large enough, these conditions may have influenced the results.

In summary, the relationships of the corneal biomechanical parameters, CH and CRF, as measured by ORA,

both showed strong associations with CCT in a healthy Taiwanese (ethnic Chinese) population. A higher CCT was positively correlated with higher CH and CRF values. The results of this study also indicated that CH, CRF, and CCT are related but are not computations of the same corneal biomechanical parameter.

5. Conclusion

We present basic biomechanical data including CH and CRF in a large Taiwanese population, and these data do not greatly differ from those of other races and other Asian populations. CH and CRF measurements did not vary with age, gender, or refraction. Further research on the roles of CH and the CRF is necessary. Our survey may provide fundamental data in a Taiwanese and Asian population for further studies on corneal biomechanical variations in different diseases.

References

- Chui, W.S., Lam, A., Chen, D. and Chiu, R. (2008) The Influence OF Corneal Properties on Rebound Tonometry. Ophthalmology, 115, 80-84. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.03.061</u>
- [2] Luce, D.A. (2005) Determining *in Vivo* Biomechanical Properties of the Cornea with an Ocular Response Analyzer. *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, **31**, 156-162. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrs.2004.10.044</u>
- [3] Kirwan, C., O'Malley, D. and O'Keefe, M. (2008) Corneal Hysteresis and Corneal Resistance Factor in Keratoectasia: Findings Using the Reichert Ocular Response Analyzer. *Ophthalmologica*, 222, 334-337. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000145333</u>
- [4] Shah, S., Laiquzzaman, M., Cunliffe, I. and Mantry, S. (2006) The Use of the Reichert Ocular Response Analyser to Establish the Relationship between Ocular Hysteresis, Corneal Resistance Factor and Central Corneal Thickness in Normal Eyes. *Contact Lens & Anterior Eye*, 29, 257-262. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clae.2006.09.006</u>
- [5] Kirwan, C., O'Keefe, M. and Lanigan, B. (2006) Corneal Hysteresis and Intraocular Pressure Measurement in Children Using the Reichert Ocular Response Analyzer. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, **142**, 990-992. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2006.07.058</u>
- [6] Lim, L., Gazzard, G., Chan, Y.H., Fong, A., Kotecha, A., Sim, E.L., et al. (2008) Cornea Biomechanical Characteristics and Their Correlates with Refractive Error in Singaporean Children. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science, 49, 3852-3857. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.07-1670</u>
- [7] Elsheikh, A., Wang, D., Rama, P., Campanelli, M. and Garway-Heath, D. (2008) Experimental Assessment of Human Corneal Hysteresis. *Current Eye Research*, 33, 205-213. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02713680701882519</u>
- [8] Kotecha, A., Elsheikh, A., Roberts, C.R., Zhu, H. and Garway-Heath, D.F. (2006) Corneal Thickness- and Age-Related Biomechanical Properties of the Cornea Measured with the Ocular Response Analyzer. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 47, 5337-5347. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.06-0557</u>
- Kirwan, C. and O'Keefe, M. (2008) Corneal Hysteresis Using the Reichert Ocular Response Analyser: Findings Preand Post-LASIK and LASEK. *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 86, 215-218. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0420.2007.01023.x
- [10] Ortiz, D., Pinero, D., Shabayek, M.H., Arnalich-Montiel, F. and Alio, J.L. (2007) Corneal Biomechanical Properties in Normal, Post-Laser *in Situ* Keratomileusis, and Keratoconic Eyes. *Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery*, 33, 1371-1375. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrs.2007.04.021</u>
- [11] Kamiya, K., Shimizu, K. and Ohmoto, F. (2009) Effect of Aging on Corneal Biomechanical Parameters Using the Ocular Response Analyzer. *Journal of Refractive Surgery*, 25, 888-893. http://dx.doi.org/10.3928/1081597X-20090917-10
- [12] Narayanaswamy, A., Chung, R.S., Wu, R.Y., Park, J., Wong, W.L., Saw, S.M., *et al.* (2011) Determinants of Corneal Biomechanical Properties in an adult Chinese Population. *Ophthalmology*, **118**, 1253-1259.
- [13] Pepose, J.S., Feigenbaum, S.K., Qazi, M.A., Sanderson, J.P. and Roberts, C.J. (2007) Changes in Corneal Biomechanics and Intraocular Pressure Following LASIK Using Static, Dynamic, and Noncontact Tonometry. *American Journal* of Ophthalmology, **143**, 39-47. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2006.09.036</u>
- [14] Lu, F., Xu, S., Qu, J., Shen, M., Wang, X., Fang, H., et al. (2007) Central Corneal Thickness and Corneal Hysteresis During Corneal Swelling Induced by Contact Lens Wear with Eye Closure. American Journal of Ophthalmology, 143, 616-622. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2006.12.031</u>
- [15] Jorge, J.M., Gonzalez-Meijome, J.M., Queiros, A., Fernandes, P. and Parafita, M.A. (2008) Correlations between Corneal Biomechanical Properties Measured with the Ocular Response Analyzer and ICare Rebound Tonometry. *Journal* of Glaucoma, 17, 442-448. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/IJG.0b013e31815f52b8</u>

- [16] Shen, M., Wang, J., Qu, J., Xu, S., Wang, X., Fang, H., et al. (2008) Diurnal Variation of Ocular Hysteresis, Corneal Thickness, and Intraocular Pressure. Optometry and Vision Science, 85, 1185-1192. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0b013e31818e8abe
- [17] Avetisov, S.E., Novikov, I.A., Bubnova, I.A., Antonov, A.A. and Siplivyi, V.I. (2010) Determination of Corneal Elasticity Coefficient Using the ORA Database. *Journal of Refractive Surgery*, **26**, 520-524.

Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either submit@scirp.org or Online Submission Portal.

