

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 28, Issue 1, Page 112-120, 2025; Article no.JABB.129492 ISSN: 2394-1081

Combining Ability Studies for Flower Yield and Its Contributing Traits in Gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* L. Foug.) in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka, India

Shreedevi Badiger ^{a*}, Balaji Kulkarni ^a, Sateesh Patil ^a, Sathish, D ^b, Venkateshalu ^c, Thammaiah, N ^d and Anasubai S Hosgoudar ^a

 ^a Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Pin. 587 103, India.
^b Department of Biotechnology and Crop Improvement, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Pin. 587 103, India.

^c Department of Entomology, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Pin. 587 103, India. ^d Department of Plant Pathology, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Pin. 587 103, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author SB designed the experiment and conducted study, outline of manuscript and prepared first draft. Author SP and BK helped in collection of germplasm and crossing. 'Author SD contributed germplasm for the study. Authors Venkateshalu, Thammaiah and ASH suggested the technical corrections of the study and analysed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2025/v28i11865

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129492

> Received: 04/11/2024 Accepted: 06/01/2025 Published: 09/01/2025

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shridevilbadiger97@gmail.com;

Cite as: Badiger, Shreedevi, Balaji Kulkarni, Sateesh Patil, Sathish, D, Venkateshalu, Thammaiah, N, and Anasubai S Hosgoudar. 2025. "Combining Ability Studies for Flower Yield and Its Contributing Traits in Gaillardia (Gaillardia Pulchella L. Foug.) in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka, India". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 28 (1):112-20. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2025/v28i11865.

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out at Department of Floriculture and landscape Architecture, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka. With the aim to elucidate the combining ability variances and effects of parents (7 lines and 3 testers) crossed in Line x Tester mating design that resulted in 21 F_{1} s which is found to be play pivotal role in selection of parental genotypes for hybridization and also to select best specific combination for specific trait among the F_{1} s. The elucidation of general and specific combining ability variances and effects were done upon 09 different traits that included, growth, earliness, yield and quality traits of gaillardia. The results of analysis of variance for combining ability anticipated the presence of both additive and non-additive gene actions which were confirmed by the ratios of combining ability variances as a major role via non-additive gene actions for all the traits studied. The combining ability studies inferred that UHS-BGC 9 (L4), UHS-BGC 2 (T1) and UHSBGL-3 (T2) as good general combiners for most of the traits studied including flower yield per plant, while among the crosses, the cross combination, UHS-BGC 7 × UHSBGL- 12 (L2 × T3) was found to be the good specific combination for most of the growth, earliness and yield traits of gaillardia.

Keywords: General combining ability; specific combining ability; additive; non-additive; overdominance; gaillardia; line x tester.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gaillardia (Gaillardia pulchella L. Foug), a member of the Asteraceae family, widely spread over Central and Western United States that attains its generic name Gaillardia in the honour of M. Gaillard, a French patron of botany. It is one of the most important hardiest annual or short-lived perennial growing up to a height of 30 to 150 cm. Amongst 30 species, Gaillardia pulchella, Gaillardia aristata and Gaillardia grandiflora are of ornamental importance and are available in annual and perennial forms. Gaillardia pulchella is reported to be diploid with 2n=36 (Gawade, et al. 2018). This crop is well known for its morphological variability as the leaf shape and size which are highly variable in nature. Leaves may be basal and linear to lanceolate, greyish green and very hirsute. The flowers of gaillardia are small and numerous born in solitary, usually showy heads which is known as capitulum with 4 to 6 cm in diameter. Individual flowers in a capitulum are called as florets. As a member of Asteraceae family, it has both ray and disc florets which are sterile and hermaphrodite in nature, respectively. The flower has a long hairy stalk and single, semidouble and double types with single or multi-coloured heads ranging from yellow, orange, cream, scarlet, bronze, brick-red etc., Gaillardia can be grown throughout year because of its photo-insensitivity (Manokari et al., 2023).

To evolve at the hybrids with superior yield for longer period the knowledge of the combining ability of the parents and resulting hybrids for various traits including yield and its component traits found necessary. This helps in the selection of parental lines for the development of specific traits of the crop and also aids in the selection of the best cross combinations that yields the desirable outcomes (Kumari et al., 2017). Along with the information of combining ability of parental lines and hybrids, the facts related to

in this crop (Thakur et al., 2024).

Owing to all these factors the development of hybrids with good yield for prolonged duration

seems to be very important. The public sector plays a vital role in developing inter-specific and

inter-varietal hybrids in gaillardia by conducting

research, running breeding programs and

providing resources for genetic studies (Christov,

2013). However, the private sector has been

more actively involved in hybrid development,

both in India and internationally. The private

sector hybrids that heavy cost which makes the

Indian farmers to pay higher prices that adversely impact on cost-benefit ratio of the

growers. It is well known that the studies

regarding the hybrid development with the

information of combining ability effects and

variances that lacks in gaillardia crop that hinders the evolution of the improved varieties or hybrids

gene actions that governs the inheritance of the

specific trait which can be elucidated through the

ratios of combining ability variances helps in

opting the suitable crop improvement programme for the trait of interest. Taking all these facts into consideration the present investigation was planned with the below material and methodologies.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study to elucidate the combining ability effects and variances to infer the gene actions and some important aspects of combining ability of the parental lines and hybrids that control expression of various yield and its contributing traits in gaillardia was carried out in experimental farm of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka situated at an elevation of about 553.0 m above mean sea level with 16°46' North latitude and 74°59' East longitude, representing northern dry zone of the Karnataka state. The experimental materials of 21 F₁ hvbrids developed through Line x Tester mating design involving 7 lines and 3 testers were evaluated in the present study for 09 traits viz., plant height (cm), number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, days to 50% flowering, duration of flowering (days), flower diameter (cm), individual flower weight (g), number of flowers per plant and flower yield per plant (g/plant).

All the morphological and yield parameters were recorded by standard scale of measurement using appropriate instruments (scale for plant height, vernier callipers for flower diameter, weighing balance for individual flower weight and flower yield per plant). The data obtained for each character were analysed by following the usual standard statistical procedure and the variation among the hybrids were partitioned further into sources attributed to general combining ability and specific combining ability components in accordance with the procedure suggested by Kempthorne (Kempthorne, 1957).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the combining ability of nine traits of the gaillardia is summarized in the Table 1 by portioning the total variance into replications, crosses, line effect, tester effect, line × tester effect and error. The variance due to replications and crosses were found to be significant for all the traits studied at P=0.05 level. While on the other hand the mean sum of square of both the line and tester effects

were of non-significant for all traits under investigation. However, there was a significant variance for all the traits regarding the line x tester effect, this inferred the presence of variability in the gene actions (additive and nonadditive) in the expression of the traits studied, which demands the further elucidations of variances of components and also their ratios to unfurl the mode of inheritance of the traits as opined by Shweta et al. (Shwetha et al., 2022) in chrvsanthemum. The variance component of combining ability (general and specific), the ratio of additive to dominance variance, degree of the dominance and the contribution of lines, testers and their interactions are mentioned in the Table 2, the results highlighted that the ratio of the additive to non-additive variances were found to be less than unity and the degree of the dominance was found to be more than the unity for all the traits under study indicating the predominance of non-additive gene action in the expression of the traits which inferred the importance of hybridization programme over direct selection for the improvement of these traits in the gaillardia crops (Shwetha et al., 2022; Tamut et al., 2014). The contribution of the lines towards the variance was found highest for all the traits viz., number of secondary branches (34.05%), days to 50% flowering (36.0%), duration of flowering (40.97%), flower diameter (49.85 %), individual flower weight (34.78%), plant height (46.17%), number of flowers per plant (44.02%) and flower yield per plant (15.10%) except, for the trait number of primary branches where the testers contributed (24.46%) surpassed the contribution through line. The interaction effect of the lines and testers towards the total variance ranged from 38.04 to 78.53% which was found to be highest for flower yield per plant (78.53%) followed by individual flower weight (60.41%) hence for the respective traits the lines and testers would be considered to bring the combined outcomes (Shwetha et al., 2022; Su et al., 2017).

The average performance of the genotype upon series of crosses which is referred to as general combining ability of a parent. Table 3 represents the results regarding the general combining ability effects of the lines and testers used for crossing in the present investigation for all the traits.

Among the lines the plant height was recorded positive and significant *gca* effect in UHSBGL-14,

UHSBGL-13 and UHS-BGC 1, while the lines UHS-BGC 7, UHSBGL-14, UHS-BGC 9, UHS-BGC 1 and UHSBGL-15 whereas, UHS-BGC 9, UHSBGL-13 and UHSBGL-15 were good general combiners for number of primary branches and secondary branches coupled with flower yield per plant, respectively. For days to 50% flowering where the significant negative gca effects are more appreciated that were recorded in the lines UHS-BGC 3, UHS-BGC 9, UHS-BGC 1 and UHSBGL-15. For duration of flowering the lines UHSBGL-14, UHS-BGC 9 and UHSBGL-15 were regarded as good general combiners while for flower diameter and number of flowers per plant and the lines, UHS-BGC 3, UHS-BGC 9 and UHSBGL- 15 were best. The significant positive gca effects for individual flower weight was exhibited by the lines UHS-BGC 3, UHS-BGC 7 and UHSBGL-13.

Among the testers, UHS-BGC 2 exhibited good general combining abilities for the traits, plant height, number of primary branches, days to 50% flowering and duration of flowering. While, the tester, UHSBGL-3 was reported to be good general combiner for plant height, number of secondary branches, flower diameter, individual flower weight, number of flowers per plant and flower yield per plant. However, the tester UHSBGL-12 was observed as a good general combiner for only number of flowers per plant. The remaining lines that were exhibiting the nonsignificant positive or negative (average general combiners) and significant positive in case of days to 50% flowering (earliness trait) and significant negative in rest of the traits were regarded to be poor general combiners for the respective traits in the crop. The lines and testers that exhibited the good general combining abilities could be of immense importance in selecting the suitable parents in the hybridization programme to attain desired outputs with respect to traits of interest, the similar reports for the various traits in the flower crops of Asteraceae family were presented in the earlier study of Bhargav et al. (Bhargav et al., 2019) and Kolur et al. (Kolur et al., 2024) in China aster, Singh and Misra (Singh and Misra, 2010) in marigold and Rivera- Colin et al. (Rivera-Colín et al., 2019) in gerbera.

Any deviation that is noticed from the particular cross as compared to the general combining ability can be referred as the specific combining ability (Fasahat et al., 2016). The results of *sca*

effects for all the traits studied are mentioned in Table 4.

The results of specific combining ability effects highlighted that the crosses, UHS-BGC 3 × UHSBGL- 3 (L1 x T2), UHS-BGC 7 x UHSBGL-12 (L₂ x T₃), UHSBGL-14 × UHSBGL- 12 (L₃ x T_3), UHS-BGC 9 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₄ x T_1), UHSBGL-13 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₅ x T₂), UHS-BGC 1 x UHS-BGC 2 ($L_6 \times T_1$), UHSBGL-15 x UHS-BGC 2 (L7 x T1) and UHSBGL-15 × UHSBGL- 12 (L₇ x T₃) were good specific combinations for plant height. While, for number of primary branches the cross combinations, UHS-BGC 3 × UHS-BGC 2 (L1 x T1), UHS- BGC 7 x UHS-BGC 2 (L₂ x T₁), UHSBGL-14 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₃ x T₁), UHS-BGC 9 × UHSBGL-3 (L4 x T2), UHSBGL-13 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₅ x T₂), UHSBGL-13 × UHSBGL-12 (L₅ x T₃), UHS- BGC 1 × UHSBGL- 12 (L₆ x T₃), UHSBGL-15 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₇ x T₂), UHS-BGC 7 x UHSBGL- 12 (L₂ x T₃) and UHS-BGC 9 × UHS- BGC 2 (L₄ x T₁) exhibited significand positive sca effects. For number of secondary branches, the crosses, UHS-BGC 3 × UHSBGL-12 (L1 x T3), UHS-BGC 7 x UHSBGL- 12 (L2 x T₃), UHSBGL-14 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₃ x T₁), UHS-BGC 9 x UHSBGL- 3 (L4 x T2), UHSBGL-13 x UHS-BGC 2 (L₅ x T₁), UHSBGL-13 × UHSBGL-3 (L₅ x T₂), UHS-BGC 1 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₆ x T₁), UHS-BGC 1 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₆ x T₂), UHSBGL-15 x UHS-BGC 2 (L7 x T1) and UHSBGL-15 x UHSBGL- 3 (L₇ x T₂) had the positive significant sca effects.

For earliness with respect to days to 50% flowering the cross combinations, UHS-BGC 3 x UHS-BGC 2 (L1 x T1), UHS-BGC 7 x UHSBGL- 3 $(L_2 \times T_2)$, UHSBGL-14 × UHSBGL- 12 $(L_3 \times T_3)$, UHS- BGC 9 x UHSBGL- 3 (L4 x T2), UHSBGL-13 x UHSBGL- 12 (L5 x T3), UHS-BGC 1 x UHSBGL- 12 (L₆ x T₃), UHSBGL-15 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₇ x T₁) and UHSBGL-15 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₇ x T₂) were found to yield the flowering at 50% earlier that remaining crosses. For duration of flowering the significant positive sca effects were recorded in the crosses viz., UHS-BGC 3 x UHSBGL- 3 ($L_1 \times T_2$), UHS-BGC 3 × UHSBGL-12 (L₁ x T₃), UHS-BGC 7 × UHSBGL- 12 (L₂ x T₃), UHSBGL-14 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₃ × T₂), UHSBGL-14 × UHSBGL- 12 (L₃ x T₃), UHS-BGC 9 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₄ x T₁), UHSBGL-13 × UHSBGL- 3 (L₅ x T₂), UHS-BGC 1 × UHS-BGC 2 $(L_6 \times T_1)$, UHS-BGC 1 × UHSBGL- 3 $(L_6 \times T_2)$ and UHSBGL-15 x UHS-BGC 2 (L7 x T1) were found to be significantly positively.

SI. Source of variation Mean						an sum of squares			
No.		Replications	Crosses	Line effect	Tester effect	Line × tester effect	Error	Total	
	Degrees of freedom	2	20	6	2	12	40	62	
1	Plant height (cm)	104.19*	176.34*	271.39	143.763	134.24*	0.04	60.27	
2	Number of primary branches	2.31*	29.82*	24.10	72.936	25.49*	0.01	9.69	
3	Number of secondary branches	42.52*	199.63*	226.61	160.01	192.74*	0.05	65.79	
4	Days to 50% flowering	100.64*	234.22*	281.08	103.067	232.65*	0.05	78.83	
5	Duration of flowering (days)	156.74*	607.57*	829.64	188.852	566.32*	0.14	201.13	
6	Flower diameter (cm)	1.07*	8.10*	13.461	9.80	5.13*	0.01	2.64	
7	Individual flower weight (g)	0.33*	3.79*	4.405	1.83	3.82*	0.01	1.23	
8	Number of flowers per plant	529.66*	5058.36*	7422.44	657.92	4609.73*	1.18	1649.58	
9	Flower yield (g/plant)	12226.89*	304327.60*	153177.00	193956.00	398298.30*	71.01	98610.40	

Table 1. Analysis of variance of combining ability (mean sum of squares) for growth yield and quality traits in gaillardia

*Significant at 5% probability level

Table 2. Variance of GCA, SCA, contribution of lines, testers and their interactions to the total variance in gaillardia

SI. No	Traits	Additive variance (Var. GCA)	Dominance variance (Var. SCA)	GCA/SCA	Degree of dominance	Line contribution (%)	Tester contribution (%)	Line × Tester contribution
· 1	Plant beight (cm)	27.62	<u> </u>	0.62	1 27	<u>/6</u> 17	8 15	45.68
2	Number of primary branches	6.45	8 /7	0.02	1.1/	2/ 25	24.46	-51 30
2	Number of secondary branches	25 76	64 23	0.70	1.14	34.05	24.40 8.02	57.03
1	Days to 50% flowering	25.60	77 52	0.40	1.57	36.00	4.40	59.60
5	Duration of flowering (days)	67.87	188 71	0.36	1.74	40.97	3 11	55.93
6	Elower diameter (cm)	1 55	1 71	0.00	1.00	49.85	12 10	38.04
7	Individual flower weight (g)	0.41	1 27	0.33	1.75	34 78	4 81	60.41
8	Number of flowers per plant	538 50	1536 11	0.35	1.68	44 02	1.30	54 68
9	Flower yield (g/plant)	23126.80	132728.00	0.17	2.39	15.10	6.37	78.53

Code	Parents	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches	Number of secondary branches	Days to 50% flowering	Duration of flowering (days)	Flower diameter (cm)	Individual flower weight (g)	Number of flowers per plant	Flower yield per plant (g/plant)
Lines										
L ₁	UHS-BGC 3	-0.95*	-0.36*	0.02	-3.48*	-13.60*	0.86*	0.58*	-24.70*	-1.38
L_2	UHS-BGC 7	-2.43*	1.01*	-2.97*	6.31*	-3.95*	-0.87*	0.40*	-38.47*	-104.17*
L_3	UHSBGL-14	0.58*	0.49*	-5.11*	6.06*	8.43*	-1.91*	-1.17*	9.85*	-199.91*
L4	UHS-BGC 9	-1.71*	0.92*	1.94*	-8.73*	0.54*	0.88*	-0.59*	37.01*	32.01*
L_5	UHSBGL-13	7.85*	-3.56*	9.64*	3.77*	-3.11*	-0.51*	0.83*	-7.31*	158.56*
L_6	UHS-BGC 1	5.53*	0.82*	-4.32*	-1.52*	-4.18*	-0.12*	0.01	-11.19*	-38.81*
L ₇	UHSBGL-15	-8.87*	0.67*	0.82*	-2.42*	15.91*	1.65*	-0.05*	34.81*	153.70*
S.E (m)	±	0.22	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.39	3.57
CD at 59	%	0.59	0.26	0.20	0.25	0.38	0.04	0.03	1.07	9.67
Testers										
T ₁	UHS-BGC 2	1.57*	2.09*	-2.13*	-2.53*	3.42*	-0.38*	-0.13*	-6.45*	-82.01*
T ₂	UHSBGL- 3	1.45*	-1.47*	3.11*	0.93*	-2.19*	0.78*	0.33*	2.93*	105.74*
T ₃	UHSBGL- 12	-3.02*	-0.62*	-0.98*	1.59*	-1.22*	-0.40*	-0.20*	3.52*	-23.73*
S.E (m)	±	0.14	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.25	2.34
CD at 59	%	0.38	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.25	0.03	0.02	0.70	6.33

Table 3. General Combining Ability (GCA) effects of lines and testers for growth, earliness and yield parameters in gaillardia

*Significant at 5% probability level

Crosses	PH	NPB	NSB	DFF	DOF	FD	FW	FPP	FYP
L ₁ x T ₁	-2.35*	1.36*	-5.46*	-12.73*	-12.52*	-2.19*	0.49*	-19.26*	-31.93*
L1 x T2	4.76*	0.30	-5.14*	6.97*	10.97*	1.50*	1.03*	38.84*	376.18*
L1 x T3	-2.41*	-1.67*	10.61*	5.75*	1.54*	0.69*	-1.52*	-19.58*	-344.24*
L ₂ x T ₁	-7.65*	1.85*	-5.22*	3.00*	-2.36*	-0.13*	0.20*	-15.31*	-46.69*
L ₂ x T ₂	0.37	-2.21*	-2.66*	-2.69*	-6.53*	-0.36*	-1.26*	-35.00*	-406.57*
L ₂ x T ₃	7.28*	0.35*	7.88*	-0.31	8.89*	0.50*	1.05*	50.31*	453.26*
L3 x T1	-6.50*	3.38*	12.11*	0.33*	-13.50*	2.04*	-0.01	18.32*	99.84*
L3 x T2	-1.65*	-2.55*	-9.13*	0.79*	12.75*	-1.39*	0.09*	-33.89*	-137.83*
L3 x T3	8.15*	-0.83*	-2.97*	-1.12*	0.74*	-0.65*	-0.08*	15.56*	37.99*
L4 x T1	7.95*	0.34*	-7.35*	1.32*	1.39*	0.33*	-0.09*	34.43*	142.05*
L4 x T2	-0.45	0.93*	8.32*	-12.91*	-0.04	-0.23*	-0.37*	-15.03*	-160.63*
L4 x T3	-7.50*	-1.27*	-0.96*	11.58*	-1.35*	-0.08*	0.47*	-19.40*	18.58*
L ₅ x T ₁	0.39	-3.16*	1.96*	2.38*	-5.19*	-0.96*	-1.09*	-37.15*	-381.46*
L5 x T2	4.73*	1.01*	0.80*	3.13*	4.76*	1.43*	1.87*	37.85*	570.05*
L5 x T3	-5.13*	2.15*	-2.76*	-5.52*	0.42	-0.46*	-0.77*	-0.69	-188.59*
L ₆ x T ₁	1.80*	-1.75*	0.67*	6.93*	3.13*	1.13*	0.99*	33.45*	368.70*
L ₆ x T ₂	0.07	-2.01*	2.49*	7.16*	1.50*	-1.13*	-1.25*	-38.29*	-424.38*
L ₆ x T ₃	-1.88*	3.76*	-3.17*	-14.09*	-4.64*	0.01	0.25*	4.83*	55.68*
L7 x T1	6.35*	-2.04*	3.29*	-1.25*	29.05*	-0.20*	-0.49*	-14.48*	-150.51*
L7 x T2	-7.84*	4.52*	5.32*	-2.45*	-23.43*	0.21*	-0.10*	45.53*	183.19*
L ₇ x T ₃	1.48*	-2.47*	-8.61*	3.71*	-5.62*	-0.01	0.59*	-31.04*	-32.68*
S. Em ±	0.38	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.24	0.03	0.02	0.68	6.19
CD at 5%	1.03	0.45	0.35	0.43	0.67	0.07	0.06	1.86	16.76

Table 4. Specific combining ability (sca) effects of crosses for growth, earliness and yield parameters in gaillardia

*Significant at 5% probability level; PH: Plant Height (cm); NPB: Number of primary branches; NSB: Number of secondary branches; DFF: Days to 50% flowering; DOF: Duration of flowering; FD: Flower diameter (cm); FW: Flower weight (g); FPP: Number of flowers per plant; FYP: Flower yield per plant (g/plant)

Table 5. Top Five sig	gnificant cross combinations f	their <i>gca</i> effects and <i>per</i> se	e performance for flower y	vield per plant

Sr. No.	Cross	sca effects	Per se performance (g/plant)	gca status of parents involved in cross
1	UHSBGL-13 x UHSBGL- 3 (L5 x T2)	570.06*	1746.38	Good x Good
2	UHS-BGC 7 x UHSBGL- 12 (L2 x T3)	453.26*	1237.37	Poor x Poor
3	UHS-BGC 3 x UHSBGL- 3 (L1 x T2)	376.18*	1392.55	Average x Good
4	UHS-BGC 1 x UHS-BGC 2 (L ₆ x T ₁)	368.70*	1159.88	Poor x Poor
5	UHSBGL-15 x UHSBGL- 3 (L7 x T2)	183.19*	1354.66	Good x Good

*Significant at 5% probability level

For yield related traits viz., flower diameter, number of flowers per plant, individual flower weight and flower yield per plant, the crosses, UHS-BGC 3 × UHSBGL- 3 (L1 x T2), UHS-BGC 7 × UHSBGL- 8 (L₂ x T₃), UHSBGL-14 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₃ x T₁), UHS-BGC 9 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₄ x T_1), UHSBGL-13 × UHSBGL- 3 ($L_5 \times T_2$), UHS-BGC 1 × UHS-BGC 2 (L₆ x T₁), UHS-BGC 1 × UHSBGL- 12 (L₆ x T₃) and UHSBGL-15 x UHSBGL- 3 ($L_7 \times T_2$) were reported to be best specific combinations as thev exhibited significant positive specific combining ability effects. All the crosses exhibiting the good specific combining abilities in all these various traits were found to consisting one of the either parent to persist the high per se performance and/or the best gca effect that was found to be contributing for the desirable significant sca effects. The similar opinions about the specific combining ability effects were put forth in the earlier studies of Veluru et al. (Veluru et al., 2019) and Bhargav et al. (Bhargav et al., 2019) in china aster; Shweta et al. (Shwetha et al., 2022) in chrysanthemum.

Top five cross combinations that exhibited significant positive specific combining ability effects for flower yield per plant are enlisted in Table 5 along with the per se performance and the status of the gca effects of the parents involved in those specific crosses which upholds the fact that the most of the top specific combiner had either one of the parents exhibiting the good general combining ability for the trait. However, the crosses, UHS-BGC 7 x UHSBGL- 12 (L2 x T_3) and UHS-BGC 1 x UHS-BGC 2 ($L_6 \times T_1$) though had both the parent involved in crossing contained poor general combining ability possessed significant positive sca effects which can be attributed to their highest per se performances for flower yield per plant.

4. CONCLUSION

The analysis of variance for combining ability indicated the presence of sufficient variations in all the traits under study, among the 21 crosses developed by crossing 7 lines with 3 testers it was noticed that the effect of non-additive gene action on expression of all the traits had the prominent role which further supported by the ratio of GCA/SCA variance that was less than unity and degree of dominance been more than unity for all the traits studied, hence strengthening the view of predominating non-

additive gene action for all the traits and stating hybridization as suitable crop improvement strategy over direct selection. The lines, UHSBGL-15 and UHS-BGC 9 were the good general combiners for growth and earliness traits, while for the yield traits, UHS-BGC 9 alone was found to be the good general combiner line. Likewise, among the three testers, UHS-BGC 2 was the only best general combiner for the growth and earliness and yield related traits, UHSBGL-3 was the best general combiner. Upon hybridization the cross, UHS-BGC 7x UHSBGL-12 was found to be the good specific combination for most of the growth, earliness and yield traits of gaillardia. Additionally, the crosses that exhibited significant specific combining ability does not necessarily contained the parents with significant *qca* effects which inferred the influence of non-additive gene actions over the expression of the traits and enforce the breeders to opt for hybridization for the improvement of gaillardia crop.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Gawade, N., Bhalekar, S. G., Bhosale, P., Katwate, S. M., & Wadekar, V. (2018). Studies on different genotypes of *Gaillardia* (*Gaillardia pulchella* L.) for quantitative and qualitative performance. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(3), 1030–1039. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.703.1 23
- Manokari, M., Raj, M. C., Dey, A., Faisal, M., Alatar, A. A., Joshee, N., et al. (2023). Silver nanoparticles improved morphogenesis, biochemical profile and micro-morphology of *Gaillardia pulchella* Foug cv. 'Torch Yellow'. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC), 155*(2), 433– 445. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-023-02502-w

- Christov, M. (2013). Contribution of interspecific and intergeneric hybridization to sunflower breeding. *Helia*, *36*(58), 1–18.
- Thakur, K., Beese, S., Guleria, A., Kisku, N. G. A., Thakur, D., Pangtu, S., et al. (2024). An overview seed production of methodologies selected flowering in annuals. Journal of Scientific Research Reports. 30(7). 183-191. and https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i72135
- Kumari, P., Kumar, R., Rao, M. T., Bharathi, T. U., & Dhananjaya, M. V. (2017). Combining ability analysis for growth, flowering and yield traits in China aster [*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees]. *Vegetos, 31*(1), 44–73.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An introduction to genetic statistics. John Wiley & Sons.
- Shwetha, G. S., Patil, B. C., Shiragur, M., Patil, R. T., Pushpa, T. N., & Nandimath, S. T. (2022). Heterosis for growth and yield traits in annual chrysanthemum (*Glebionis coronaria*). *Phorma Innov, 11*(3), 471–475. https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2022.v11.i3g.1 1266
- Tamut, O., Kulkarni, B. S., & Geeta, S. V. (2014). Evaluation of *Gaillardia* (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug.) for flowering, quality and yield parameters. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture, 17*(3 and 4), 93–96.
- Su, J., Zhang, F., Yang, X., Feng, Y., Yang, X., Wu, Y., et al. (2017). Combining ability, heterosis, genetic distance and their intercorrelations for waterlogging tolerance traits in chrysanthemum. *Euphytica*, 21(3), 1–15.

- Bhargav, V., Kumar, R., Rao, T. M., Bharathi, T. U., Dhananjaya, M. V., & Venugopalan, R. (2019). Combining ability analysis for quantitative traits in China aster [Callistephus chinensis (L.) Neesl. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 10(1), 277-284. https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00033.4
- Kolur, S., Kumari, R. V., & Nirmala, K. (2024). Exploitation of heterosis for improvement of economic flower quality and yield traits in China aster [*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees]. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 58(1), 275–284.
- Singh, D., & Misra, K. K. (2010). Diallel analysis for combining ability in marigold (*Tagetes* spp.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *23*(2), 1–7.
- Rivera-Colín, A., Mejía-Carranza, J., Vázquez-García, L. M., Urbina-Sánchez, E., & Ramírez-Gerardo, M. G. (2019). Aptitud combinatoria y heterosis en variedades de gerbera (*Gerbera × hybrida*). *Revista Fitotecnia Mexicana, 42*(2), 155–162.
- Fasahat, P., Rajabi, A., Rad, J. M., & Derera, J. J. B. (2016). Principles and utilization of combining ability in plant breeding. *Biometrics and Biostatistics International Journal, 4*(1), 1–24.
- Veluru, B., Kumar, R., Rao, M. T., Dhananjaya, M. V., & Venugopalan, R. (2019). Estimation of heterobeltiosis in F1 hybrids of China aster [*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees]. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 11(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v11i1.1950

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129492